

SOCCKER/WORLD FOOTBALL

Soccer/world football is a sport involving two teams of players kicking and heading a medium-sized ball about on a large rectangular field. Each team has a goal that is quite wide and high and seeks to shoot the ball into the other team's goal. The basic objective is to see which team of the two can score the most goals and thereby win the game. The sport is subject to Laws set down by the Federation Internationale de Football (FIFA). Typically there is a group of teams playing against each other in a league or tournament with a formal schedule of games. A team's intention then is to win a tournament or championship by obtaining the most points, where 3 are awarded for a win, 1 for a tie and 0 for a loss.

The sport of soccer has a long and full history possibly going back to 2500 BC, Henshaw (1979). In the present time the teams each have eleven players. One, the goalie, may handle the ball but only within a restricted area in front of his goal. There is a neutral referee whose decisions are final. The grandest tournament, the World Cup, moves amongst different countries and occurs nominally every four years. The past world champions are Uruguay, Argentina, Brazil, England, France, Germany and Italy. The sport has become a multi-billion dollar business.

Tactics are basic to the games with many styles and plating formations available. A team's choice has been based, in part, on studies of match statistics. The pioneer in the field of match performance analysis is Charles Reep, see Larsen (2001) and Pollard (2002).

1. DATA COLLECTION AND DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSES

Data collection and analysis have been basic to soccer for many years. The most common statistics are a game's result and the number of goals scored by each team. Outputs of data analyses include: reports, tables, graphs, talks, images, and videos.

Many things go on during a game. A basic question is what is to be recorded for analysis and how to do so. Charles Reep, see Larsen (2001) and Pollard (2002), developed solutions starting in the early 1950s. The study of basic events in a game has been called both match performance analysis and match analysis, see Reilly and Thomas (1976), Larsen (2001), McGarry and Franks (2003), and Hughes (2003).

A recent addition to the type of information available is near continuous high-frequency digital spatial-temporal data for individual games. Companies come to a stadium and set up an array of video cameras. By signal processing they then develop the spatial-temporal coordinates of the changing locations of the players on the field, the ball and the referee. Companies doing this include: Match Analysis, Prozone Holdings, and Sport-Universal SA. Di Salvo et al. (2006) have validated one such system. Basic quantities that may now be tabled directly include counts of: tackles, crosses, distances covered, key moments and actions, possessions, passes, interceptions, runs with the ball, incorrect referee decisions, fouls, penalty kicks, challenges, entries into the opponent's

area, ball touches, blocks, forward passes, long balls, high intensity running, ball velocity and accuracy, balls received. Individual player's performances may be evaluated directly. The data can lead to changes in tactics during a game, see Carling, Williams and Reilly (2009). Substantial soccer databases have been available for many years. Websites include: www.eufa.com, www.fifa.com, www.soccerbase.com, www.soccerway.com, and www.soccerpunter.com.

One early investigation of basic data is that of Moroney (1951), pp. 101-103. He studied the numbers of goals scored in 480 games and prepared a histogram of the counts. In another early study the numbers of successful passes in passing movements were studied for the English First Division during the years 1957-58 and 1961-62 in Reep and Benjamin (1968). The results were presented in tabular form. Reep and Benjamin found a stable correspondence between shots on goal and goals scored of about 10 to 1. Distances traveled by individual players during a game are graphed by player position in Reilly and Thomas (1976). Hughes and Franks (2008) present scatter and time series plots. One early discovery was the existence of a home advantage. Schedules are often set up so that two teams meet both at home and away to deal with this. Jochems (1958, 1962), while addressing the question of whether the Dutch football pools were breaking the gambling laws, found the percentages to be 46.6 for a home win, 31.0 for a visitor win and 22.4 for a draw/tie. Managers make use such data in their decision-making, more so every year. Stochastic models are important in this connection.

2. STOCHASTIC MODELLING

Stochastic models are pertinent to soccer statistics because there is much uncertainty in what happens and what may happen. During the preceding fifty years stochastic models have been constructed to address soccer questions. Some models may be distinguished as to concerning goals, win-tie-loss, or points. Specific distributions and models that have been employed include: bivariate Poisson, exponential, extreme value, GARCH, generalized linear, logistic, Markov, negative binomial, ordinal, regression, prior, point process, and state space. Many analyses are based on the Poisson distribution whose probability function is given by

$$\text{Prob}\{Y=y\} = \mu^y e^{-\mu} / y! \text{ for } y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Here Y might represent the number of goals a team scores in a future game. In early work Moroney (1951), using the data mentioned above, compared the number of goals scored by a team in a game to their estimated expected frequency assuming that a Poisson distribution held. On examining the result he was led to seek to improve it and fit a negative binomial distribution. This is a generalization of the Poisson. This fit was satisfactory. However Greanough et al (2002) found that when data from 169 countries were pooled extreme value distributions were needed.

Reep et al (1968, 1971) work with the numbers of passes in successful passing movements. They fit the negative binomial and found it was good when 0-length cases were excluded.

Suppose next that team i at home is playing against team j visiting. Denote the final score by (X_{ij}, Y_{ij}) . Various authors have assumed that X_{ij} and Y_{ij} are independent Poissons with respective means,

$$\alpha_i \beta_j, \gamma_i \delta_j \qquad \text{Maher (1982)}$$

$$\exp\{\alpha + \eta + \gamma_i + \delta_j\}, \exp\{\alpha + \gamma_j + \delta_i\} \quad \text{Kuonen (1996) and Lee (1997)}$$

$$\alpha_i\beta_j\eta, \alpha_j\beta_i \quad \text{Dixon and Coles (1997)}$$

$$\exp\{\alpha + \eta + \gamma_i - \delta_j - \phi(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)\}, \exp\{\alpha + \gamma_j - \delta_i + \phi(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)\} \quad \text{Rue and Salvesen (2000)}$$

Here η is a home advantage, ϕ is a psychological effect and $\varepsilon_i = \gamma_i + \delta_i$. In their fitting Dixon and Coles modify the low score model probabilities. The probability that team i wins the game may be estimated having estimated the model parameters.

Maher (1982) estimates $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ by maximum likelihood and mentions fitting the bivariate Poisson. Karlis and Ntzoufras (2003) give details of fitting a bivariate Poisson studying the data for 24 leagues. They find that the assumption of independence is not rejected in 15 cases out of the 24. They also consider the negative binomial distribution and the inclusion of interaction terms.

The goal difference $X_{ij} - Y_{ij}$ is also important. It determines whether a game result is win, tie or loss (W, T, or L) and is used to resolve conflicts when the points are equal. Stefani (1980) considers the model

$$X_{ij} - Y_{ij} = \eta + \rho_i - \rho_j + \text{random error}$$

with η the home advantage and the ρ_i called rankings. Karlis and Ntzoufras (2009) fit the Poisson difference distribution to observed goal differences including a home effect, attacking, and defensive parameters.

Fahrmeir and Tutz (1994) employ a logistic latent variable-cutpoint setup to model an ordinal-valued variable. Their model is

$$\text{Prob}\{Y_{ij} = r\} = F(\theta_r + \alpha_i - \alpha_j) - F(\theta_r + \alpha_i - \alpha_j) \quad \text{for } r = W, T, L$$

with F the logistic and α_i the ability of team i . In contrast Brillinger (1996, 2007, 2008, 2009) employs an extreme value variable-cutpoint approach. It leads to the model

$$\text{Prob}\{i \text{ wins at home playing } j\} = 1 - \exp\{-\exp\{\beta_i + \gamma_j + \theta_2\}\}$$

$$\text{Prob}\{i \text{ ties at home against } j\} = \exp\{-\exp\{\beta_i + \gamma_j + \theta_2\}\} - \exp\{-\exp\{\beta_i + \gamma_j + \theta_1\}\}$$

$$\text{Prob}\{i \text{ loses at home against } j\} = \exp\{-\exp\{\beta_i + \gamma_j + \theta_1\}\}$$

with $\theta_2 > \theta_1$ cutpoints and the standardizations $\sum \beta_i, \sum \gamma_i = 0$. The parameters β_i and γ_i represent home and away effects of team i . The extreme value distribution employed is longer tailed to the right. The result of the preceding game, and distances between the cities involved, were considered as explanatories. The $\beta_i - \gamma_i$ can be interpreted as the advantage of team i when playing at home. These values were also considered in Leeflang and van Praag (1971). These authors model the goal differences as

$$X_{ij} - Y_{ij} = \eta + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \text{random error},$$

calling the α_i and β_j "forces". Least squares estimation is employed. Including past results did not improve things. Goodard (2005) fits an ordered probit model, that is F above relates to the normal, with explanatories.

In a linear regression analysis Panaretos (2002) takes the dependent variable to be points obtained in a game and studies the effect of the explanatories goals scored, goals conceded and time of ball possession. The regression model

points = $\alpha + \beta \log(\text{goals scored}/\text{goals conceded}) + \gamma \text{ball possession} + \text{random noise}$
is fit and a proportion of variance explained of .971 found .

Barnett and Hilditch (1993) study whether the field being artificial turf affects the game result. They find that the home team's advantage was increased. The use of artificial turf was abandoned.

Attention now turns to the case where time or round, t , plays an essential role in the modelling. Jochems (1958, 1962) defines, as a measure of strength of team i at home and j visiting, $\lambda_{ij} = W_i - W_j$, with W_i the average points of team i in its preceding games. The prediction of the result for team i is

- i wins if $\lambda_{ij} > 0$
- i wins if $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ home advantage
- i loses if $\lambda_{ij} < 0$

Jochem's study had been commissioned by the Netherlands Lottery Commission to see if any skill was involved on the part of tipsters.

The models listed above can be turned into forecasting procedures by simply adding a t to the subscripts of the parameters and estimating them by using the data up to time t . One then uses the schedule of remaining games to develop forecasts. For example Stefani (1980) considers the model

$$X_{ijt} - Y_{ijt} = \eta_{ijt} + \rho_i - \rho_j + \text{random error}$$

with ρ_i a rating based on i 's past games and $\eta_{ijt} = \pm\eta$ represents a home advantage. The quantity ρ_i represents team i 's ability. The fit is by conditional least squares.

Dixon and Coles (1997) employ independent Poissons and introduce an exponentially decaying time function to damp out the effects of previous rounds. The Poisson means are $\alpha_{i(t)}\beta_{j(t)}\eta$ and $\alpha_{j(t)}\beta_{i(t)}$ respectively. The variable t is time or round number and η stands for home advantage. Estimation is by maximizing the likelihood.

Fahrmeir and Tutz (1994) work with a latent variable motivated ordinal model,

$$\text{Prob}\{R_{ij}^t = r\} = F(\theta_{tr} - \alpha_{ti} - \alpha_{tj}) - F(\theta_{tr} - \alpha_{ti} - \alpha_{tj})$$

With R the result, $r = 0, 1, 2$ is a win-tie-loss indicator. Further F is the logistic, and the α_{ti} are random walks in t . The computations involve a Kalman filter and simulation. Rue and Salvesen (2000) employ a Bayesian dynamic generalised linear model with independent normals having conditional means given the past

$$\exp\{\alpha + \eta + \gamma_i^t - \delta_j^t - \phi(\varepsilon_i^t - \varepsilon_j^t)\}, \exp\{\alpha + \gamma_j^t - \delta_i^t + \phi(\varepsilon_i^t - \varepsilon_j^t)\}$$

to predict next weekend's results. They employ a directed graph to describe the causal structure of the model as a function of time.

Brillinger (2008, 2009) for each round, t , uses previous round results. He fits a latent variable based model and then uses simulation to make projections of the final points and standings of the teams using the knowledge of the remaining games in the schedule. Estimates are then available for future rounds.

Harvey and Fernandes (1989) study the series of goals scored by England against Scotland in a biyearly match. In a state space approach they assume a negative binomial model with mean an exponentially decaying function of past values.

Next consider modeling the progress of a single game. One approach breaks the course of a game into states corresponding to zones in the field. Pollard and Reep (1997) carry out a logistic regression analysis to model the probability of scoring from various positions on the field. In a series of papers Hirotsu and Wright (2002, 2003a, 2003b) Markov chains with a finite number of states are employed. Hirotsu and Wright (2002) use a four state model to determine the optimal timing of substitution and tactical decisions. The expected number of points to be gained from a change in tactics is considered an objective and dynamic programming is employed. The model includes transition rates of scoring and conceding, and rates of gaining and losing possession. Hirotsu and Wright found evidence for replacing a defender with an attacker when losing.

A common problem is to look for change taking place. Croucher (1984) studied the effect of changing the points awarded. The object of this change is to generate more attacking play. Ridder et al (1994) study the effect of a red card in game. They infer that scoring rate does changes after the ejection having a negative effect on the result from the for the team with fewer players. Other papers studying the effect are: Wright and Hirotsu (2003), Vecer et al (2009) and Volf (2009). The latter takes a point process approach. When team i is playing team j the times of goals are modelled by Poisson processes with log rates

$$v_{ij}(t) = \lambda_i(t) \exp\{\alpha_j + \beta z_{ij}(t)\}$$

and

$$v_{ji}(t) = \lambda_j(t) \exp\{\alpha_i + \beta z_{ji}(t)\}$$

respectively t being time. The function $\lambda_i(t)$ is referred to as the attack intensity for team i and α_i as the defense parameter. The z_{ij} are the explanatories

$$z_{ij1}(t) = 1 \text{ when rival player off with red card, } 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

$$z_{ij2}(t) = 1 \text{ if actual score positive}$$

$$z_{ij3}(t) = 1 \text{ if actual score negative}$$

t is time, β and z_{ij} are 3-vectors. The parameters are estimated using data from the 2006 World Cup. The fitted model may be employed to generate simulations. Dixon and Robinson (1998) also takes a point process approach employing a birth process to model the scoring.

The match video data being collected these days may be processed to determine trajectories of players and the ball. Then models can be developed. Xie et al (2004) take a video of a game, break it into segments, for example "play" or "break". They deal with camera pans and zooms using the green grass ratio and motion intensity. Their analysis uses dynamic programming and spatial-temporal hidden Markov processes. Min et al (2008) work on the same problem making use of rule-based reasoning, Bayesian inference, simulation, and expert systems. Palavi (2008) develops a graph-based multiplayer detection and tracking system. In the simplest case of a notable goal scoring movement in one game Brillinger (2007) develops a potential function approach to obtain a stochastic model.

Berument et al (2006) look for evidence of soccer results affecting the Turkish stock market. They do find an effect for one team as well as a day of the week effect.

Garvia (2000) asks, Is soccer dying? He uses a Markov switching model to look for a break in the series of yearly average goals per game. He finds a drop for England, Italy, and Spain around 1965.

3. RANKING

Rankings/ratings/seedings are numerical values meant to describe the relative performances of teams in a league. They are used for a variety of specific purposes. One is the preparation of schedules for knock-out tournaments. Another is for the maximization of the probability that the best competitors meet in a tournament's later stages. If there are N teams the collection of ranking values might be the sequence of integers 1 to N . A famous example is the FIFA/Coca-Cola world ranking, ([www.fifa.com.worldfootball/ranking/procedure/men.html](http://www.fifa.com/worldfootball/ranking/procedure/men.html)) where the values derive from a formula involving major games during the previous 4 years, strength of opponent, W-T-

L points and several other items. It orders the teams of all the countries that are members of the FIFA and is updated steadily as more game results become available.

Jochems(1958,1962) employed the differences of average game scores and compared this to tipsters' predictions. Hill (1974) uses Kendall's tau to compare tipster results with the end of season standings and finds association. Stefani (1980) suggests the model

$$X_{ij} - Y_{ij} = \eta + \rho_i - \rho_j + \text{random error}$$

with the ρ_i 's rankings. Stefani (1997) surveyed the major world sports rating systems. Bassett (1997) suggests improving the estimates by employing a robust estimation method to handle long tails. Forrest and Simmons (2000b) investigates the predictive quality of newspaper tipsters match results. Stefani and Pollard (2007) provide a critical survey of ranking procedures. Gelade and Dobson (2007) relate the international standing of a country's team to various social factors including: number playing regularly, wealth, and climate. McHale and Davies (2007) focus on the FIFA rankings.

There are papers on ratings for other sports for example Leake (1976), Harville (1977), and Stern (1992).

4. TOURNAMENTS AND SCHEDULING

Scheduling is a basic step involved when games need to be organized amongst the members of a group of teams. Two common types of tournament design are the round robin and the knock-out. In the round robin each pair of teams play each other the same number of times, equally at home and away. The champion of the group is the team with the most points at the end of all the games. In the knock-out case, there is a seeding order and the first round is based on it. The tournament progresses with two teams playing in pairs setup by the seeding order and the winner going on to the following round. This continues until only one team is left, the champion.

Sometimes there are numerical-valued objective functions that can be employed in developing schedules. Their forms can include: distance traveled, total expenses, numbers of consecutive home or away games, and referees' time. There are often constraints that need to be taken note of in the scheduling. For example there may be multiple venues, television schedules, desired dates for two teams from the same city. Analytic tools employed in an optimization include: integer programming, maximization, knapsack algorithms, simulation and stochastic models

Kuonen (1996/1997) employs a logistic regression model and shows how output can be used to estimate probabilities of interest for a knock-out tournament. Unlike a round robin future opponents for the following rounds are only known probabilistically. Kuonen works with European Cup Winners Cup data. He investigates three methods for calculating seeding coefficients based on the data for 3 preceding years.

Urban and Russell (2003) consider scheduling competitions on multiple venues, venues not associated with any of the participants. Della Croce and Oliveri (2006) present an integer linear programming approach for scheduling the Italian League. They had to deal with cable TV, and with games between teams in the same city.

Scheduling the Chilean League has also posed special problems. As described in Noronha et al (2007) and Duran et al (2009) these were dealt with via using mathematical programming, recognized existing weaknesses, stadiums availability, international requirements, and reducing travel distance

Objectives may be described probabilistically and then stochastic models are involved. When the goal is to determine a champion, it is natural to ask questions like: what is the probability that the "best" team actually wins the tournament, or how much better is the first team than the second? Appleton (1995) provides definitions and reviews many tournament structures. He employs simulations.

Scarf et al (2009) provide an extensive review including discussion of: tournament metrics, how the design influences outcome uncertainty, the use of simulation, robustness (to teams dropping out), effects of rule changes, and probability model employed. He defines the parameter

$$P_{qR} = \text{Prob}\{\text{team in top } 100q \text{ pre-tournament rank percentile progresses}\}$$

Here R is round achieved and $0 < q < 1$. To understand the P_{qR} values he graphs them against q.

It is necessary to schedule the referees also. Yavuz et al (2008) provides an extensive review. The topics covered include: leagues in different countries requiring different objective functions and constraints, tension between referees and clubs caused by past incidents, reducing frequent assignment of the same referee to a team's games. In amateur leagues there may be several games the same day for same referee, perhaps at different places even.

5. GAME THEORY

The expression "game theory" has both a general and a technical meaning. The "technical" comes from the classic von Neumann and Morgenstern (1944) setup. The general refers to the others, particularly tactics. Both meanings provide general frameworks with which to study soccer. A difficulty arising in the theories' applications to soccer is that that game is highly dynamic. The Jones-Tratner (1979) book is unusual in that it starts by emphasizing the defensive formations. Wilkinson (1988) is a book devoted to the topic of tactics.

The formation selected would be controlled by the coach. The 2-3-5 was very common for many years. In it there are 2 defensive specialists, 5 attacking and 3 midfielders in between. Newer formations include: 2-3-5, 5-4-1, 4-5-1, and even 4-1-4-1 with an extra row. The formation 4-4-2 is favored by various famous teams.. The 2 attackers can drop back to assist in obtaining the ball. When the team has possession of the ball, the two outside midfielders can move forward to increase pressure on the opponent. Wilson (2008) provides a review and insightful analysis of many of the formations employed in games dating from 1878 to 2008.

Pollard and Reep (1997) seek to quantify the effectiveness of different playing strategies. They break the action of a game down into discrete events, e.g. pass, center, shoot. They define the "yield" as the probability a goal is scored minus the probability one is conceded. This quantity is used to evaluate the expected outcome of a team possession.

The book Wesson (2002) *The Science of Soccer* has a chapter on "game theory". It discusses how the strength and deployment of the team moderates the apparent random motion and discusses the fact that low scoring benefits the weaker team.

One of the momentous occasions in a soccer game is the awarding of a penalty shot. There have been a number of formal studies. Greenless et al (2008) study how the penalty

takers' uniform colour and pre-penalty gaze affects the impressions formed by goal-keeper. When penalties take place to break a tie McGarry and Franks (2000) identify an optimal order for a team to take the shots in. Their conclusion is to begin by ranking the players 1 (best) to 11 (worst). Then use the order: 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, and if necessary after that 6,7, 8, 9, 10, 11. Jordet et al (2007) considers the roles of stress, skill, and fatigue for answering why the English are poor at penalties. He analyzed 200 shots from World Cup and European Championship. In an analysis of many penaltues Franks, McGarry and Hanvey (1999) learned that the in 80% of the cases studied if the non-kicking foot points to the left the ball will be shot to the left. They developed a training program to test this discovery.

Larsen (2001) describes the arrival of Reep's ideas in Norway and the success that teams adopting it enjoyed.

The theory of von Neumann and Morgenstern provides general definitions and leads to random strategies. Haigh (2009) provides the following intuitive example. The table shows estimated percentages of successful penalty shots based on 1876 attempts.

	Kicker		
Goalie	Left	Center	Right
Left	60	90	93
Center	100	30	100
Right	94	85	60

The situation can be considered is a two-person zero-sum game. If the kicker wins by scoring, the goalie loses. If the goalie wins by saving, the kicker loses. Haigh finds that Nash equilibrium theory leads to the goalie's chosing to move left, center or right with the respective probabilities of 0.44, 0.13, 0.43. For the kicker they are 0.37, 0.29, 0.34. If either player uses their strategy 80% of shots would be scored in the long run. This turns out to be close to the actual percentage.

Other references to applications of the formal theory include: Pacacios-Huerta (2003), Hirotsu and Wright (2006), and Coloma (2007). Hirotsu and Wright consider a zero-sum game focusing on who wins the game, while Hirotsu et al (2009) focus on points gained and where one has a non-zero-sum game. Bennett et al (1980) use hypergame analysis involving the ranking of various forms of hooligans' actions and authorities' reactions followed by hooligan's reactions and so on followed by exploring implications of such scenarios.

6. ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT

Reilly and Williams (2003) write, "In the 1980's it became apparent that the football (soccer) industry and professionals in the game could no longer rely on the traditional methods of previous decades. ... Methods of management science were applied to organizing the big soccer clubs and the training of players could be formulated on a systematic basis." Desbordes (2007), Chapter 10, advocates the introduction of modern management tools into soccer. This makes sense if for no other reason than the fact that billions of dollars are now involved in the sport. One does need to remember that at the

youth and amateur levels the amount of money involved is little. Really all that is needed for a game is a ball and a field or a beach. This is the charm of the game.

Management refers to a sport's structure, owners, sponsors, marketers, financiers, schedulers, and others with some form of control. Management provides the superstructure. The fans expect both their team's players and its management to be successful in acquiring players, providing facilities, and, hiring the coach. Management assists by supporting the enterprise generally. It provides the infrastructure. Other things that management is responsible for include cash inflows-outflows, advertising, manpower planning and hiring, planning new and keeping up old stadiums, and signing players. The stadium owners provide facilities for security, ticket collection, food, souvenirs, and parking. Management studies the performance of their players and others. The books Covell et al (2007) and Carling et al (2009) are references.

Sometimes there is a numerical-valued objective function for the management to work with. Then optimization methods may be employed to good advantage. Other concerns are: loss functions, earnings/revenue, prestige/honor, recruitment, salary negotiation, scouting, referees, merchandise, commercialism, productivity, profitability, travel, television rights.

There is a common wish to improve individual player's and a team's performance. An accompanying question is how to assess performance and efficiency? One paper on the topic is Dawson et al (2000) Stochastic frontiers and the temporal structure of managerial efficiency in English soccer. Others are Haas (2003) Technical efficiency in the major league soccer, and Sala-Garrido et al (2009) Analysis and evolution of efficiency in the Spanish Soccer League. There have been analyses. Audas et al (2002) studies the impact of managerial change on team performance using the data of English football match results since the 1970s, while Partovi and Corredoira (2002) investigate models for prioritizing and designing rule changes.

In a study of competitive balance in the Dutch League Koning (2000) employs the values C_{ij} , corresponding to W, T, L , generated via

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ij} &= \varphi_i - \varphi_j + \varepsilon_{ij} \text{ with the } \varepsilon\text{'s mean } 0, \text{ common variance normals} \\ C_{ij} &= 1 \quad \text{if } D_{ij} > c_2 \\ &= 0 \quad \text{if } D_{ij} = 0 \\ &= -1 \quad \text{if } D_{ij} < c_1 \end{aligned}$$

It is used to study changes in competitive balance in Holland.

A novel application of programming theory is presented in Mosheiov (1998). He applies an integer program to the generalized knapsack problem to find a 'Dream Team'. Player values were established by a public poll with the participants given a budget of 2.5 million dollars each. A knapsack algorithm was then employed to establish the best team at the lowest price.

Calster et al (2008) studies an unwanted happening in soccer, the scoreless draw. The occurrence is related it to indices of a team's offensive performance including total goals and earned points per game.

7. SOME REMAINING TOPICS

The book Sellin (1976) *The Inner Game of Soccer*, provides details of the game from a referee's standpoint. It discusses the laws and the mechanics and talks of the

characteristics of the best referees and the ones who can turn benign games into ugly unsportsmanlike confrontations.

The book Wesson (2002) lays out some of the physics of soccer. For example Chapter 4 analyses the movement of a ball spinning in flight and how players can make it bend. Hall (2005) elaborates on that describing the physical forces at work in a double banana shot, which curves in one direction then swerves in another. Such a shot is very difficult for the goalie to handle.

Sports biomechanics concerns physical actions that occur in sport that can be observed and improved for example to reduce injuries. The papers Lees and Nolan (1998) and Lees (2003) are on the topic of biomechanics in soccer. Grimpampi et al (2008) concerns computational biomechanics. Bradley et al (2009) is a human biology study. It refers to results on high-intensity running using data collected via an array of television cameras.

There are many papers on employing stochastic models in evaluating bets. Various of the papers referred to above go on to study the efficiency of various gambling strategies.

What is ahead for the game? Wright (2009) writes on 50 years of operations research in sport to provide a background.. The future is discussed in The Orange Future of Football Report (2008) and by the sports writer P Gardner (2009) in World Soccer. He suggests the following changes: bigger goals, smaller penalty area, a revised offside rule, fouls denoted contact or technical, red carded player punished but replacement allowed. Reviewing the literature and practice suggest that one can expect to see much more in the way of the collection and analysis of the spatial-temporal data collected in a game.

8. EXTENDED REFERENCE LIST

Albert, J., Bennett, J. and Cochran, J. J. Eds. (2005). Anthology of Statistics in Sports. SIAM, Philadelphia.

Albert, J. and Koning, R. H. Eds. (2007). Statistical Thinking in Sports. Chapman and Hall, New York.

Appleton, D. R. (1995). May the best man win? *The Statistician* 44, 529-538.

Archontakis, F. and Osborne, E. (2007). Playing it safe? A Fibonacci strategy for soccer betting. *J. Sports Economics* 8, 295-308.

Audas, R., Dobson, S. and Goddard, J. (2002). The impact of managerial change on team performance in professional sports. *J. Economics and Business* 54, 633-650.

Barnett, V. and Hilditch, S. (1993). The effect of an artificial pitch surface on home team performance in football (soccer). *J. Royal Statistical Society A* 156, 39-50.

Bassett, G. W. (1997). Robust sports ratings based on least absolute errors. *American Statistician* 51 (2)

- Baxter, M. and Stevenson, R. (1988). Discriminating between the Poisson and negative binomial distributions: an application to goal scoring in association football. *J. Applied Statistics* 15, 347-354.
- Bellos, A. (2002). *Futebol, the Brazilian Way of Life*. Bloomsbury, London.
- Bennett, P. G., Dando, M. R., and Sharp, R. G. (1980). Using hypergames to model difficult social issues: an approach to the case of soccer hooliganism. *J. Operational Research Society* 31, 621-635.
- Berument, H., Ceylan, N. B. and Gozpinar, E. (2006). Performance of soccer on the stock market: evidence from Turkey. *Social Science J.* 43, 695-699.
- Boeri, T. and Severgnini, B. (2008). The Italian job: match rigging, career concerns and media concentration in 'Serie A'. IZA Discussion Paper No. 3745.
- Bradley, P.S., Sheldon, W., Wooster, B., Olsen, P., Boanas, P. and Krustup, P. (2009). High-intensity running in English FA Premier League soccer matches. *J. Sports Sciences* 27, 159-168.
- Brillinger, D. R. (1996). An analysis of an ordinal-valued time series. Pp. 73-87 in *Athens Conference on Applied Probability and Time Series Analysis, Volume II. Lecture Notes in Statistics Vol. 115*. Springer-Verlag.
- Brillinger, D. R. (2006). Modelling some Norwegian soccer data. Pp. 3-20 in *Advances in Statistical Modelling and Inference*. World Scientific, Singapore.
- Brillinger, D. R. (2007). A potential function approach to the flow of play in soccer, *J. Quantitative Analysis in Sports* 3, issue 1, article 3.
- Brillinger, D. R. (2008). Modelling game outcomes of the Brazilian 2006 Series A Championship as ordinal-valued. *Brazilian J. Probability Statistics* 22, 89-104.
- Brillinger, D. R. (2009). An analysis of Chinese Super League partial results. *Science in China A: Mathematics* 52, 1139-1156.
- Brimson, D. (2007). *March of the Hooligans: Soccer's Bloody Fraternity*. Virgin, London.
- Brocas, I. and Carrillo, J. D. (2004). Do the three-point victory and golden goal rules make soccer more exciting? *J. Sports Economics* 5, 169-185.
- Calster, B. V., Smits, T. and Van Huffel, S. (2008). The curse of scoreless draws in soccer: the relationship with a team's offensive, defensive, and overall performance. *J. Quantitative Analysis of Sports* 4 issue 1 article 4

Cantor, A. and Arcucci, D. (1997) *Gooool: A Celebration Of Soccer*. Fireside, New York.

Carrion, F. (2006). *Biblioteca del Futbol Ecuatoriano*, 5 volumes. Flacso, Quito.

Carling, C., Reilly, T. and Williams, A. M. (2005). *Handbook of Soccer Match Analysis*. Routledge, London.

Carling, C., Reilly, T. and Williams, A. M. (2009). *Performance Assessment for Field Sports*. Routledge, London.

Carmichael, F., Thomas, D. and Ward, R. (2000) Team performance: the case of English Premiership Football. *Managerial and Decision Economics* 21, 31-45.

Coloma, G. (2007). Penalty kicks in soccer: an alternative methodology for testing mixed-strategy equilibria. *J. Sports Economics* 8, 530-545.

Comeron, M. (2002). *The Prevention of Violence in Sport*. Council of Europe. Strasbourg.

Cordeiro, S. E. (2006). El futbol y la corrupcion. Pp. 233-250 in Carrion (2006) Volume V.

Covell, D, Walker, S., Siciliano, J. and Hess, P. W. (2007). *Managing Sports Organizations: Responsibility for Performance*. Second edition. Butterworth-Heinemann, Portsmouth.

Croucher, J. S. (1984). The effect of changing competition points in the English football league. *Teaching Statistics* 6, 39-42.

Crowder, M., Dixon, M., Ledford, A. and Robinson, M. (2002). Dynamic modelling and prediction of English Football League matches for betting. *The Statistician* 51, 157-168.

David, F. N. (1962). *Games, Gods and Gambling*. Griffin, London.

Dawson, P., Dobson, S. and Gerrard, B. (2000). Stochastic frontiers and the temporal structure of managerial efficiency in English soccer. *J. Sports Economics* 1-4, 341-362.

Della Croce, F. and Oliveri, D. (2006). Scheduling the Italian Football League: an ILP-based approach. *Computers & Operations Research* 33, 1963-1974.

Desbordes, M. (2007). *Marketing and Football: An International Perspective*. Butterworth-Heinemann.

Di Salvo, V., Collins, A., McNeill, B. and Cardinale, M. (2006). Validation of Prozone: a new video-based performance analysis system. *International J. of Performance Analysis in Sport* 6, 108-119.

- Dixon, M. J. and Coles, S. C. (1997). Modelling association football scores and inefficiencies in the football betting market. *Applied Statistics* 46, 265-280.
- Dixon, M. J. and Robinson, M. E. (1998). A birth process model for association football matches. *The Statistician* 47, 523-538.
- Dobson, S. and Goddard, J. (2001). *The Economics of Football*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Dobson, S. and Goddard, J. (2008). Forecasting scores and results and testing the efficiency of the fixed odds betting market in Scottish League football. Pp. 91-109 in Albert and Koning (2007).
- Duran, G., Guajardo, M., Weintraub, A. and Wolf, R. (2009). Scheduling the Chilean League using mathematical programming. *OR/MS Today*. April.
- Dyte, D. and Clarke, S. R. (2000). A ratings based Poisson model for World Cup soccer simulation, *J. Operational Research Society*. 51, 993-998.
- Eastaway, R. and Haigh, J. (2007) *Beating the Odds: The Hidden Mathematics of Sport*. Robson, London.
- Emonet, B. (2000). Revisiting statistical aspects of soccer. STS Report, Swiss Technical University, Lausanne.
- England, C. (2003). *No More Budha, Only Football*. Sceptre, London.
- Espita-Escuer, M. and Garcia-Cebrianm L. I. (2004) Measuring the efficiency of Spanish First-Division soccer teams, *J. Sports Economics* 04-03, 203-215.
- Fahrmeir, L. and Tutz, G. (1994). Dynamic stochastic models for time-dependent ordered paired comparison systems. *J. American Statistical Association* 89, 1438-1449.
- Fernandez-Cantelli, E. and Meeden, G. (2002). An improved award system for soccer, *Chance* 7, 275-278.
- FIFA (2006) Ranking [www.fifa.com.worldfootball/ranking/procedure/men.html](http://www.fifa.com/worldfootball/ranking/procedure/men.html)
- FIFA (2008/2009). *Laws of the Game* www.fifa.com (2008/2009).
- FIFA (2009). *Disciplinary Code*. www.fifa.com/mm/50/02/75/disco_2009_en.pdf
- Foer, F. (2004) *How soccer explains the world : an unlikely theory of globalization*. Harper Collins, New York.

- Forrest, D. and Simmons, R. (2000a). Making up the results: the work of the Football Pools Panel, 1963-1997. *The Statistician* 49, 253-260.
- Forrest, D. and Simmons, R. (2000b). Forecasting sport: the behaviour and performance of football tipsters. *International J. Forecasting* 16, 317-331.
- Franks, I., McGarry, T., and Hanvey, T. (1999). From notation to training: analysis of the penalty kick. *Insight* 2, 24-26.
- Garner, P. (2009). The future of football. *World Soccer*. February.
- Garvia, A. (2007). Is soccer dying? A time series approach. *Applied Economic Letters* 7, 275-278.
- Gelade, G. A. and Dobson, P. (2007). Predicting the comparative strengths of national football teams. *Social Science Quarterly* 88, 244-258.
- Giulianotti, R. (1999). *Football: a Sociology of the Global Game*. Bodmin, Polity
- Giulianotti, R., Bonney, N. and Hepworth, M. (1994). *Football, Violence and Social Identity*. Routledge, London.
- Goodard, J. (2005). Regression models for forecasting goals and match results in association football. *International J. Forecasting* 21, 331-340.
- Goddard, J. and Asimakopulos, I. (2004). Forecasting football results and the efficiency of fixed-odds betting. *J. Forecasting* 23, 51-66.
- Greenhough, J., Birch, P. C., Chapman, S. C. and Rowlands, G. (2002). Football goal distributions and extremal statistics. *Physics A* 316, 615-624.
- Greenless, I., Leyland, A., Thelwell, R. and Filby, W. (2008). Soccer penalty takers' uniform colour and pre-penalty gaze affects the impressions formed by opposing goalkeepers. *J. Sports Sciences* 26, 569-576.
- Grimpiani, E., Pasculli, A. and Sacripanti, A. (2008). Computational biomechanics, stochastic motion and team sports. arxiv.org/pdf/0811.3659
- Haas, D. J. (2003). Technical efficiency of Major League Soccer, *J. Sports Economics* 04-03, 203-215.
- Haigh, J. (2009). Uses and limitations of mathematics in sport. *IMA J. Management Mathematics* 20, 97-108.
- Hall, L. E. (2005). *Laws of Motion*. Rosen, New York.

Harvey, A. C. and Fernandes, C. (1989). Time series models for count data of qualitative observations. *J. Business and Economic Statistics* 7, 407-422.

Harville, D. (1977). The use of linear model methodology to rate high school or college football teams. *J. American Statistical Association* 72, 278-289.

Henshaw, R. (1979). *The Encyclopedia of World Soccer*. New Republic, Washington.

Hill, I. D. (1974). Association football and statistical inference. *Applied Statistics* 23, 203-208.

Hirotsu, N., Ito, M., Miyaji, C., Hamano, K., and Taguchi, A. (2009). Modelling tactical changes of formation in association football as a non-zero-sum game. *J. Quantitative Analysis Sports* 5, issue 3, article 2.

Hirotsu, N. and Wright, M. (2002). Using a Markov process model of an association football match to determine the optimal timing of substitution and tactical decisions. *J. Operational Research Society* 53, 88-96.

Hirotsu, N. and Wright, M. (2003a). An evaluation of characteristics of teams in association football by using a Markov process model. *The Statistician* 52, 591-602.

Hirotsu, N. and Wright, M. (2003b). Determining the best strategy for changing the configuration of a football team. *J. Operational Research Society* 54, 878-887.

Hirotsu, N. and Wright, M. (2006). Modelling tactical changes of formation in association football as a zero-sum game. *J. Quantitative Analysis of Sports* 2 issue 2 article 4.

Holey, A. J. and Johnson, B. S. (1998) Menaces to Management: a developmental view of British Soccer Hooligans, 1961-1986. *The Sports Journal* 1 no 1.

Hornby, N. (1992). *Fever Pitch*. Riverhead Trade.

Hughes, M. (2003). Notational Analysis. Pp. 245-264 in *Science and Soccer*, Second Edition. Routledge, London.

Hughes, M. and Bartlett, R., (2002). The use of performance indicators in performance analysis, *J. Sports Sciences* 20, 739-754.

Hughes, M. and Franks, I. (2005). Analysis of passing sequence, shots and goals in soccer. *J. Sports Sciences* 23, 509-514.

Hurley, W. J. (2006). Special issue on operations research in sport, *Computers and Operations Research* 33, 1871-1873.

- Jackson, D. A. (1994). Index betting on sports. *The Statistician* 43, 309-315.
- Jochems, D. B. (1958). Voetbalprognoses. *Statistica Neerlandica* 12, 17-31.
- Jochems, D. B. (1962). Forecasting the outcomes of soccer matches: a statistical appraisal of the Dutch experience. *Metrika* 5, 194-207.
- Jordet, G., Hartman, E., Vischer, C. and Lemmink, K. A. P. M. (2007). Kicks from the penalty mark in soccer: the roles of stress, skill, and fatigue for kick outcomes. *J. Sport Science* 25, 121-129.
- Jones, R. and Trantner, T. (1979). *Soccer Strategies: defensive and attacking tactics*. Spring City, Reedswain.
- Karlis, D. and Ntzoufras, I. (2000). On modelling soccer data. *Student* 3, 229-244.
- Karlis, D. and Ntzoufras, I. (2003). Analysis of sports data using bivariate Poisson models. *The Statistician* 52, 381-393.
- Karlis, D. and Ntzoufras, I. (2009). Bayesian modelling of football outcomes: using the Skellam's distribution for goal difference, *IMA J. Numerical Analysis* 20, 133-145.
- Kern, M. and Sussmuth, B. (2005). Managerial efficiency in German top league soccer: an econometric analysis of club performances on and off the pitch. *German Economic Review* 6, 485-506.
- Knorr-Held, L. (2000). Dynamic rating of sports teams. *The Statistician* 49, 261-276.
- Koning, R. H. (2000). Balance in competition in Dutch soccer. *The Statistician* 49, 419-431.
- Kristan, M., Pers, J., Perse, M. and Kovacic, S. (2006). Towards fast and efficient methods for tracking players in sports. Pp. 14-25 in *Proc. ECCV Workshop on Computer Vision Based Analysis in Sport Environments*. Eds. J. Pers and D. R. Magee.
- Kuonen, D. (1996). Modelling the success of soccer teams in European championships. Technical Report 96.1, Department of Mathematics, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Lausanne.
- Kuonen, D. (1996/1997). Statistical models for knock-out soccer tournaments. Technical Report 97.3, Department of Mathematics, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Lausanne.
- Kuonen, D. and Roehrl, A. S. A. (2000). Was Frances's World Cup win pure chance? *Student* 3, 153-166. [ranking, knockout - formula]

- Kuypers, T. (2000). Information and efficiency: an empirical study of a fixed odds betting market. *Applied Economics* 32, 1353-1363.
- Ladany, S. P. and Machol, R. E. eds, *Optimal Strategies in Sports*. (1977). Amsterdam, North-Holland.
- Larsen, O. (2001). Charles Reep: a major influence on British and Norwegian football. *Soccer and Society* 2, 55-78.
- Leake, R. J. (1976). A method for ranking teams with an application to 1974 college football. *Management Science in Sports*, North Holland.
- Lee, A. J. (1997). Modelling soccer in the Premier League: is Manchester United really the best? *Chance*, 15-19.
- Leefflang, P. S. H. and van Praag, B. M. S. (1971) A procedure to estimate relative powers in binary contacts and an application to Dutch Football League results. *Statistica Neerlandica* 25, 203-208.
- Lees, A. (2003) Biomechanics applied to soccer skills. Pp. 109-119 in Reilly et al. (2003).
- Lees, A. and Nolan, L. (1998). The biomechanics of soccer: a review. *J. Sports Sciences* 16, 211-234.
- Lewis, M. M. *Moneyball: the Art of Winning an Unfair Game*. Norton, New York. (2003).
- Lucena, C. J. P., Noronha, S. Urrutia, S. and Ribeiro, C. C. (2006) A multi-agent framework to retrieve and publish information on qualification and elimination data in sports tournaments.
- Machol, R. E., Ladany, S. P., and Morrison, D. G. (1976) *Management Science in Sports*. North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- Magnum (2002) *Soccer*. Phaidon, London.
- Maher, M. J. (1982). Modelling association football scores. *Statistica Neerlandica* 36, 109-118.
- Mason, T. (1980). *Association Football and English Society 1863-1915*. Brighton, Harvester.
- McCullough, P. and Nelder, J. A. (1989). *Generalized Linear Models*, Second Edition. Chapman and Hall, London.

- McGarry, T. and Franks, I. M. (2000). On winning the penalty shoot-out in soccer. *J. Sports Science* 18, 401-409.
- McGarry, T. and Franks, I. M. (2003). The science of match analysis. Pp. 265-275 in *Science and Soccer, Second Edition*. Routledge, New York.
- McGuire, E. J., Courneya, K. S., Widmeyer, W. N. and Carron, A. V. (1992). Aggression as a potential mediator of the home advantage in professional hockey. *J. Sport and Exercise Physiology* 14, 148-158.
- McHale, I. and Davies, S. (2008). Statistical analysis of the effectiveness of the FIFA world rankings. Pp. 77-90 in *Albert and Koning*.
- Min, B., Kim, J., Choe, C., Eom, H., McKay, R. I. (2008). A compound framework for sports prediction: a football case study. *Knowledge-Based Systems* 21, 551-562.
- Morris, D. (1981). *The Soccer Tribe*. Jonathan Cape.
- Moroney, M. (1951). *Facts from Figures*. Pelican, London.
- Mosheiov, G. (1998). The solution of the soccer 'Dream League' game. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 27, 79-83.
- Needham, C. J. (2003). *Tracking and Modelling of Team Game Interactions*. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Leeds.
- Noronha, T. F., Ribeiro, C. C., Duran, Souyris, G. S. and Weintraub, A. (2007). A branch-and-cut algorithm for scheduling the highly-constrained Chilean soccer tournament. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 3867, 174-186
- Osborne, Martin J. (2004), *An Introduction to Game Theory*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Pacacios-Huerta, I. (2003). Professionals play minimax. *Review Economic Studies* 70, 395-415.
- Palavi, V., Mukherjee, J., Majumdar, A. K. and Sural, S. (2008). Graph-based multiplayer detection and tracking in broadcast soccer videos. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia* 10, 794-805.
- Panarteos, V. A (2002). A statistical analysis of the European Soccer Champion League. *Proceedings Joint Statistics Meetings*.
- Partovi, F. Y. and Corredoira, R. A. (2002). Quality deployment for the good of soccer. *European J. Operational Research* 137, 642-656.

Peiser, B. and Minten, J. (2003). Soccer violence. Pp. 230-242 in Reilly and Williams (2003).

Pollard, R. (2002). Charles Reep (1904-2002): pioneer of notational and performance analysis in football. *J. Sports Sciences* 20, 853-855.

Pollard, R. (2006). Home advantage in soccer: variations in its magnitude and a literature review of the inter-related factors associated with its existence. *J. Sport Behavior* 29, 169-189.

Pollard, R. and Reep, C. (1997). Measuring the effectiveness of playing strategies at soccer, *J. Royal Statistical Society D* 46, 541-550.

Reep, C. and Benjamin, B. (1968). Skill and chance in association football. *J. Royal Statistical Society A* 131: 581-585.

Reep, C., Pollard, R. and Benjamin, B. (1971) Skill and chance in ball games. *J. Royal Statistical Society A* 134, 623-629.

Reilly, T. and Thomas, V. (1976). A motion analysis of work-rate in differential positional roles in professional football match-play. *J. Human Movement Studies* 2, 87-97.

Reilly, T. and Williams, A. M. (2003). *Science and Soccer*. Second Edition. Routledge, Abingon.

Ribeiro, C. C. and Urrutia, S. (2004). OR on the ball: applications in sports scheduling and management. *OR/MS Today* June

Ridder, G., Cramer, J. S. and Hopstaken, P. (1994). Down to ten: estimating the effect of a red card in soccer, *J. American Statistical Association* 89: 1124-1127. Pp. 299-302 in Albert et al (2005).

Rue, H. and Salvesen, O. (2000). Prediction and retrospective analysis of soccer matches in a league, *J. Royal Statistical Society D* 49, 399-418.

Sadovskii, A. L. and Sadovskii, L. E. (1993). *Mathematics and Sports*. American Mathematical Society, Providence.

Sala-Garrido, R., Carrion, V. L., Esteve, A. M. and Bosca, J. E. (2009). Analysis and evolution of efficiency in the Spanish Soccer League. *J. Quantitative Analysis in Sports* 5, Issue 1, Article 3.

Scarf, P., Yusof, M. M., N. and Bilbao, M. (2009). A numerical study of designs for sporting contest. *European J. Operational Research* 198, 190-198.

- Sellin, E. (1976). *The Inner Game of Soccer*. World, Mountain View.
- Simons, R. (2008). *Bamboo Goalposts*. MacMillan, London.
- Sloane, P. J. (1971). The economics of professional football: the football club as a utility maximiser. *Scottish J. Political Economy* 8, 121-146.
- Sloane, P. J. ed. (1997). The economics of sport. *Economic Affairs* 17. Special issue.
- Stefani (1980). Improved least squares football, basketball, and soccer predictions. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics* 10, 116-123.
- Stefani, R. T. (1997). Survey of the major world sports rating systems, *J. Applied Statistics* 24, 635-646.
- Stefani, R. (2008). Measurement and interpretation of home advantage. Pp. 203-216 in Albert and Koning (2008).
- Stefani, R. T. (2009). Predicting score difference versus score total in rugby and soccer. *IMA J. Management Mathematics* 20, 147-158.
- Stefani, R. and Pollard, R. (2007). Football rating systems for top-level competition: a critical survey. *J. Quantitative Analysis in Sports* 3, issue 3, article 3.
- Stern, H. (1992). On the probability of winning a football game, *The American Statistician* 45, 179-183. Pp. 53-57 in Albert et al (2005).
- SUP AMISCO PRO <http://213.30.139.108/sport-universal/uk/amiscopro.htm>
- The Orange Future of Football Report (2008)
http://www.orange.co.uk/sport/football/pics/3395_1.htm
- Turnbull, J., Raab, A. and Satterlee, T. (2008). *The Global Game: Writers on Soccer*. Bison.
- Urban, T. L. and Russell, R. A. (2003). Scheduling sports competitions on multiple venues. *European J. Operational Research* 148, 302-311.
- Vecer, J., Kopriva, F., and Ichiba, T. (2009). Estimating the effect of a red card in soccer: when to commit an offense in exchange for preventing a goal opportunity. *J. Quantitative Analysis in Sports* 5, issue 1, article 8.
- Volf, P. (2009) A random point process model for score in matches. *IMA J. Management Mathematics* 20, 121-131.

- Von Neumann, J. and Morgenstern, O. (1944). *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Wesson, J. (2002). *The Science of Soccer*. Taylor and Francis, New York.
- Wilkinson, W. H. G. (1988). *Soccer Tactics: Top Team Strategies Explained*. Marlborough, Crowood.
- Wilson, J. (2008). *Inverting the Pyramid: a History of Football Tactics*. Orion, London.
- Wright, D. B. (1997). Football standings and measurement levels. *The Statistician* 46, 105-110.
- Wright, M. B. (2009). 50 years of OR in Sport. *J. Operational Research Society* 60, S161-S168.
- Wright, M. and Hirotsu, N. (2003) The professional foul in football: tactics and deterrents. *J. Operational Research Society* 54, 213:221.
- Yavuz, M., Inan, U. H. and Figlah, A. (2008). Fair referee assignments for professional football leagues. *Computers and Operations Research* 35, 2937-2951.
- Yue, Z., Broich, H., Seifritz, F. and Mester, J.(2008a). Mathematical analysis of a soccer game. Part 1: individual and collective behaviors, *Studies in Applied Mathematics* 121, 223-243.
- Yue, Z., Broich, H., Seifritz, F. and Mester, J. (2008b) Part 2: energy, spectral and correlation analyses, *Studies in Applied Mathematics* 121, 245-261.
- Xie, L., Xu, P., Chang, S-F, Divarkanm A., and Sun, H. (2004). Structure analysis of soccer video with domain knowledge and hidden Markov models. *Pattern Recognition Letters* 25, 76-775.

David R. Brillinger

Statistics Department, University of California, Berkeley, California

Keywords: association football, efficiency; FIFA; game theory; laws of the game; match analysis, notation analysis, performance; ranking; sports science; spatial-temporal data; tactics; time series.